



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,718	01/23/2004	Bryan Nathan Wilmoth	M005Z/281291	7327
23370	7590 03/17/2006	EXAMINER		INER
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			KIM, SANG K	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		ARTORIT	TAI ER NOMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30309			3654	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ <u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/763,718	WILMOTH ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SANG KIM	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of					
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13-20.		Il be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,6,7 <i>and</i> 9</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,8 <i>and</i> 10-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	√o(s)			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. The limitation added to claims 1, 4, 6, and 21, regarding the drive system comprising at least one directional clutch, is an example of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.

KATHY MATECKI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600